

BARRIERS TO IMPLEMENTING EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES IN CHILDREN HOSPITAL

Sobia Noreen, Student of BSN(Post RN) Independent College of Nursing, Faisalabad.

Date of Received: 11/04/2018

Date of Acceptance: 24/08/2018

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Evidence-based practice in nursing (EBP) is a system for clinical practice that coordinates the best accessible logical evidence with nurse's aptitude and the patient's inclinations and qualities to choose about the healthcare of individual patients. **Objective:** The main objectives of this study were to identify the barriers to implementing evidence-based practices. **Study Design:** Descriptive comparative research design. **Setting, Method:** DHQ Sahiwal. A questionnaire was designed to be filled by the nurses working in the hospital. The gathered data was processed and analyzed by SPSS to examine their results and to view. **Result:** The sample size of this research was 132 nurses working in hospital. The results showed that there is no proper environment for the implementation of evidence-based practices because its time consuming, risky, costly and researched based etc.

Keywords: Evidence-based practices, Barriers to implement Evidence-based practice

Article Citation: Noreen S. Barriers to implementing Evidence-Based Practices in Children Hospital. *IJAHS*, Jan-Mar 2020;01(36-42):01-07.

Correspondence Address

Sobia Noreen
Student of BSN(Post RN)
Independent College of
Nursing, Faisalabad.

INTRODUCTION

The health professionals have demonstrated a commitment to Evidence-based Practice (EBP) because it encompasses a combination of patient values and hospitals and as well as clinical expertise with research evidence. Health care is filled with uncertainty (e.g. what type of treatment is most effective to have the best outcomes for the patient? How have patients coped with their disease? What is the best nursing plan based upon a nursing assessment?) Nevertheless, in a confounded health care framework, the vulnerability can be decreased if clinicians base their practice on evidence. Patients request nature of consideration and clinicians need to give incredible patient consideration. As research and innovation in health care flourish, evidence-based practice in nursing (EBP) is getting critical in conveying fantastic healthcare. The Internet offers a plenty of data, which is effectively gotten and can be utilized as a learning instrument. EBP is basic for nurses to get to know the accessible evidence and execute look into discoveries to

persistent consideration.¹

Evidence-based Practice in nursing (EBP) is a system for clinical practice that coordinates the best accessible logical evidence with nurse's aptitude and the patient's inclinations and qualities to choose about the healthcare of individual patients. EBP has been displayed as a basic leadership demonstrate or a model for tackling clinical issues. The fundamental advances associated with evidence-based nursing practice are the Defining an issue and detailing clinical inquiries that can be replied through research or different well springs of evidence, Finding the best evidence to answer these clinical inquiries, Assessing the legitimacy of the evidence to give answers to clinical inquiries, Incorporating the evidence with nurse's mastery and patient's demeanor, Evaluating the entire procedure and the outcomes.²

EBP has prospered in nursing and in health care by and large. This is evident from the foundation

of the Cochrane Library which contains the Databases of Systematic Reviews, nursing diaries as the diary of Evidence-Based Nursing and Centers as the Joanna Briggs Institute for Evidence-based Nursing in New Zealand and the Center for Evidence-Based Nursing in the University of York in Great Britain. In Germany, the Center for Evidence-based Nursing has been established in 1998 by Pr. Johann Behrens and it is an individual from the International Network of the Centers for Evidence-based Nursing.

Over 25 years have just gone since research usage has been talked about in the nursing literature with improved eagerness and requests for utilizing research discoveries into practice. In addition, the development of evidence-based practice which began in 1990s has underlined the hugeness of incorporating research usage in practice.³

Analysts have contended that everyday practice in nursing care is affected more by custom, instinct and encounter and less by logical research. Audits in the literature center around the trouble that exists endeavoring to apply to examine discoveries into practice.⁴

Despite the fact that the use of research in nursing practice has expanded, there are contrasts in the nurses' training level with respect to inquire about use. Additionally, examine was exceedingly reliant on the way of life of the clinic, which means the arrangement of assets and the help that nurses had.⁵

In spite of all the various programs and strategies to promote the use of research findings, there is still a gap between theory and practice. Only a small percentage of health care providers implement research findings into practice, due to demanding patient loads, the great amount of journal articles related to their nursing practices and of misunderstandings of the time and procedures implementing practice based on

evidence. So, this study seeks to increase our understanding about the barriers to the utilization of evidence-based practices among nurses in hospital.

Objectives of the study

- To determine barriers to implementing evidence-based practice

In the USA, Funk has noted different facilitators that improve the utilization of research discoveries into practice.⁶ These facilitators include: increment of managerial help, enhancement of accessibility of research reports, increment of research information base, arrangement of help from partners, lead of all the more clinically centered research, increment of the time accessible to actualize look into discoveries and enhancement of the understandability of research reports. These focuses can be abridged as far as facilitators identified with association (bolster from organization and partners, time accessible), to look into correspondence (openness of research, clinically centered research, understandability of research reports) and to the individual (extra instruction).

In the USA, Carroll has noticed a few facilitators to the utilization of research in nursing practice. These facilitators incorporate additional time accessible for executing research discoveries, all the more clinically engaged, applicable research, openness of research reports, bolster from organization and partners and understandability of research reports. These focuses concerning facilitators of research usage can be outlined regarding the frame of mind of hierarchical variables (time, support, instruction) and research correspondence.

In Sweden, Kajermo has explored nurses' impression of encouraging the utilization of research discoveries in practice.⁷ She arranged the facilitators in five gatherings as pursues:

learning, correspondence, assets, bolster frames of mind and research. The primary classification included training in logical techniques, the second interpretation of the articles in Swedish, the third accessible time, cash and staffing, the fourth help and support from the work force and the fifth was identified with all the more clinically centered research.

In Australia, Retsas, over viewed the components Australian nurses see to encourage their capacity to utilize explore in their clinical practice.⁸ He said that so as to enhance this capacity, basic changes should be done in the instructive framework, trying to enhance the exploration abilities among clinical nurses.

In Finland, Oranta has indicated out the facilitators look into usage from the perspective of Finnish enrolled Nurses.⁹ The principle facilitators were nurses' uplifting mentalities and capacities. To outline the past examinations, the fundamental factors that would encourage nurses in the utilization of research discoveries are bolster from organization or partners, accessible time and research information base. All the past facilitators can be synopsized in attributes of the association, for example, factors apparent in the work setting; and qualities of the introduction of the examination and its accessibility.

In Ireland, Parahoo explored facilitators of research use among Irish nurses.¹⁰ The most well-known reactions were "chief's help", "time" and "support from associates". So as to build up an arrangement for the execution of research discoveries into practice, potential neighborhood boundaries and potential facilitators should have been distinguished. It is apparent that these hindrances and facilitators are worldwide issues inside the healthcare network. Therefore, we ought to sharpen ourselves in all the manners in which we can about the significance and estimation of evidence-based nursing.

In Australia, Retsas, reviewed the elements Australian nurses see to meddle with their capacity to utilize inquire about in their clinical practice.⁸ Expressed reasons concerning why they didn't actualize look into exercises incorporated the accompanying: Insufficient time at work to execute new thoughts, absence of power, deficient offices, absence of understandability of research, doctors' uncooperating, confinement of proficient partners, powerlessness to get to inquire about discoveries, absence of summed up results and unsupportive staff. These boundaries to investigate usage can be outlined regarding hierarchical limitations (time, absence of power, assets, uncooperating of doctors, ungeneralizable outcomes, and contradicting associates), examine correspondence (look into language) and the disposition of nurses (absence of correspondence of clever colleagues, powerlessness of assessing the nature of research).

In Northern Ireland, Parahoo has called attention to nurses' impression of hindrances to investigate usage.¹⁰ A portion of the variables adding to the hole among research and practice incorporate absence of nurses' power to change practice, absence of understandability of reports, deficient time to coordinate new thoughts, absence of recompense from the administration, ungeneralizable outcomes to nurse setting, failure of getting to explore, specialists' uncooperating, inadequate offices, unsupportive staff and absence of access of significant literature. These boundaries to investigate are condensed as far as the association, examine correspondence and the person.

In Finland, Oranta has indicated out the boundaries inquire about use from the perspective of Finnish enlisted Nurses.⁹ The primary requirements incorporate dialect of reports, resistance with the doctors, trouble to

comprehend measurable investigations, deficient time, vague suggestions for practice, trouble to appreciate inquire about discoveries because of hazy announcing, absence of help from other faculty, ungeneralizable outcomes and absence of access insignificant literature. These perspectives can be condensed as far as authoritative limitations (uncooperative doctors, time to actualize thoughts or to peruse look into, absence of staff bolster, ungeneralizable outcomes), and research correspondence (inquire about language, vague recommendations for practice, unsupportive staff).

In the USA, Funk has offered some conceivable motivations to clarify why nurses don't utilize look into discoveries in their practice: The nurse does not feel she/he has enough specialist to change understanding consideration systems, there is lacking time at work to execute new thoughts.⁶ The nurse is uninformed of the examination, Physicians won't participate with execution. Organization won't permit usage; Other staff isn't steady of usage, the nurse feels results are not generalizable to possess setting, the offices are insufficient for execution. Statistical examination isn't reasonable. At long last, the nurse has not time to peruse explore. These focuses can be abridged as far as hierarchical imperatives (absence of power, absence of time to actualize new thoughts or to peruse inquire about, resistance with the doctors, organization or other staff, insufficient offices), nurses' frames of mind to attempt look into (absence of information of research strategies), and research correspondence.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Present research study was descriptive and quantitative in nature, so descriptive. This research was conducted in the Children Hospital Faisalabad. For this purpose, a questionnaire was developed by using the five likert scale. Data was collected randomly from 132 nurses working in

the hospital. Briefly interview survey method was used for the collection of data. Each item has five response options like strongly disagree, disagree,

Table 4.1 Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Statistics	No; of Items
.864	21

agree, neutral and strongly agree against their perceptions and experiences.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Above table shows the results of reliability

Table 4.2 Demographic Information

Demographic Information		Results	
		Frequency	%
Age	21-30 years	73	55.3
	31-40 years	49	37.1
	Above 40	10	7.6
Education	General Nursing	98	74.2
	BSN	29	22.0
	Generic	4	3.0
	other	1	.8
Experience	1-3 Years	66	50.0
	3-6 Years	38	28.8
	6-9 Years	20	15.2
	Above 9 Years	8	6.1

statistics of Cronbach's Alpha test. The reliability of is .864 which is greater than .7 which showed the reliability.

The survey results indicated that The respondents whose age is 18-28 years are 73, whose age is between 29 to 39 years are 49, whose age is above 49 years are 10. The 98 nurses having education level general nursing, 29 nurses having BSN degree and 4 have generic and 1 have other degree. nurses whose experience is 1-3 years are 66, whose experience is 3-6 years are 38, whose experience is 6-9 years are 20 and whose experience is more than 9 years are 8.

The results showed that 11 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement, 64 disagreed with the statement, 15 remained neutral, 34 agree with the given statement and the 8 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement.5 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that there



Table 2. Nurses perceptions about working Environment

Statements	Strongly disagree	disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
	Freq %	Freq %	Freq %	Freq %	Freq %
I Haven't not enough knowledge about EBP	11 8.3	64 48.5	15 11.4	34 25.8	8 6.1
Sometimes it's no enough time to implement EBP	5 3.7	31 23.5	29 22	53 40.2	14 10.6
Hospital resources are not sufficient for EBP	5 3.7	33 25	22 16.7	49 37.1	23 17.4
Environment (Workplace) is not supportive to promote EBP	4 3	34 25.8	18 13.6	53 40.2	23 17.4
There is a Shortage of Professional Experts to implement EBP	5 3.7	23 17.4	25 18.9	53 40.2	26 19.7
There are conflicts against implementation of EBP	1 .8	37 28	33 25	47 35.6	14 10.6
Its critical for someone to make decisions about EBP	1 .8	39 29.5	39 29.5	47 35.6	6 4.5
Sometimes Patient is at risk while deciding about EBP	4 3	47 35.6	26 19.7	42 31.8	13 9.8
There is insufficient time on the job to implement new ideas	7 5.3	46 34.8	27 20.5	37 28	15 11.4
Other staff are not supportive of implementation	5 3.8	35 26.5	29 22	40 30.3	23 17.4
The relevant literature is not compiled in one place	8 6.1	53 40.2	38 28.8	26 19.7	7 5.3
The nurse feels results are not generalizable to own setting	3 2.3	35 26.5	52 39.4	39 29.5	3 2.3
Implications for practice are not made clear	9 6.8	38 28.8	31 23.5	44 33.3	10 7.6
There is not support or incentives for clinical practice development	2 1.5	31 23.5	31 23.5	47 35.6	21 15.9
Statistical analyses about EBP are not understandable	6 4.5	34 25.8	37 28	43 32.6	12 9.1
The nurse does not feel capable of evaluating the quality of the research about EPB	7 5.3	46 43.8	31 23.5	41 31.1	7 5.3
There is resistance from administration to make changes in the work setting	8 6.1	39 29.5	34 25.8	34 25.8	17 12.9
The amount of research information is distressing	15 11.4	48 36.4	23 17.4	37 28	9 6.8
Research reports/articles are not readily available	14 10.6	40 30.3	27 20.5	38 28.8	13 9.8
The research is not reported clearly and readably	12 9.1	30 22.7	35 26.5	42 31.8	13 9.8
Administration has perceived EBP as a low management priority	11 8.3	33 25	39 29.5	32 24.2	17 12.9

is no time for the implementation of EBP, 31 disagreed with the statement, 29 remained neutral, 53 agree with the given statement and the 14 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 5 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that there is lack of resources in hospital for implementation of EBP, 33 disagreed with the statement, 22 remained

neutral, 49 agree with the given statement and the 23 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement.

34 disagreed with the statement, 18 remained neutral, 53 agree with the given statement and the 23 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 23 disagreed with the statement, 25

remained neutral, 53 agree with the given statement and the 26 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement.

1 nurse strongly disagreed with the statement that there are conflicts against the implementation of EBP, 37 disagreed with the statement, 33 remained neutral, 47 agree with the given statement and the 14 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 1 nurse strongly disagreed with the statement that it is critical to make decision for the implementation of EBP, 39 disagreed with the statement, 39 remained neutral, 47 agree with the given statement and the 6 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement.

4 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that sometimes patient is critical and at risk to make decision for the implementation of EBP, 47 disagreed with the statement, 26 remained neutral, 42 agree with the given statement and the 13 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement.

4 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that there is insufficient time for the implementation of EBP, 46 disagreed with the statement, 27 remained neutral, 37 agree with the given statement and the 15 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 5 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that staff is not supportive for the implementation of EBP, 35 disagreed with the statement, 29 remained neutral, 40 agree with the given statement and the 23 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 8 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that relevant literature is not compiled for the implementation of EBP, 53 disagreed with the statement, 38 remained neutral, 26 agree with the given statement and the 7 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 3 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that nurses feel there are issues for the generalizations of results for the

implementation of EBP, 35 disagreed with the statement, 52 remained neutral, 39 agree with the given statement and the 3 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 9 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that nurses feel practices are not made clear implications for the implementation of EBP, 38 disagreed with the statement, 31 remained neutral, 44 agree with the given statement and the 10 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 2 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that there is no supportive incentives for clinical practices development for the implementation of EBP, 31 disagreed with the statement, 31 remained neutral, 47 agree with the given statement and the 21 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 6 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that their statistical analysis for the implementation of EBP, 34 disagreed with the statement, 37 remained neutral, 43 agree with the given statement and the 12 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 7 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that nurses do not capable of evaluating the quality of research for the implementation of EBP, 46 disagreed with the statement, 31 remained neutral, 41 agree with the given statement and the 7 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 7 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that there is resistance from the administration side for the implementation of EBP, 39 disagreed with the statement, 34 remained neutral, 34 agree with the given statement and the 17 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 15 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that there is lack of research for the implementation of EBP, 48 disagreed with the statement, 23 remained neutral, 37 agree with the given statement and the 9 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 14 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that there is no availability of research articles for the implementation of EBP, 40 disagreed with the statement, 27 remained neutral, 38 agree with



the given statement and the 13 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 30 disagreed with the statement, 35 remained neutral, 42 agree with the given statement and the 13 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement. 11 nurses strongly disagreed with the statement that administration not preferred for the implementation of EBP, 33 disagreed with the statement, 39 remained neutral, 32 agree with the given statement and the 17 nurses strongly agreed with the given statement.

CONCLUSION

72% of the results showed that hospital resources are not sufficient for EBP. 76% mentioned that workplace is not supportive to implement EBP. 55% showed that sometimes patient seems at risk while deciding about implementing EBP. 51% results show that sometimes there is resistance from administration side to implement EBP.

REFERENCES

1. Funk, S. G., Champagne, M. T., Wiese, R. A., & Tornquist, E. M. (1991). BARRIERS: the barriers to research utilization scale. *Applied Nursing Research, 4*(1), 39-45.
2. Hockenberry, M. J., Kline, N. E., & Rodgers, C. (2006). Nursing support of the child with cancer. *Principles and practice of pediatric oncology. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins*, 1380-1396.
3. Hutchinson, A. M., & Johnston, L. (2004). Bridging the divide: a survey of nurses' opinions regarding barriers to, and facilitators of, research utilization in the practice setting. *Journal of clinical nursing, 13*(3), 304-315.
4. Kajermo, K. N., Nordström, G., Krusebrant, Å., & Björvell, H. (1998). Barriers to and facilitators of research utilization, as perceived by a group of registered nurses in Sweden. *Journal of advanced nursing, 27*(4), 798-807.
5. Levin, E. D., McClernon, F. J., & Rezvani, A. H. (2006). Nicotinic effects on cognitive function: behavioral characterization, pharmacological specification, and anatomic localization. *Psychopharmacology, 184*(3-4), 523-539.
6. Melnyk, B. M. (2002). Strategies for overcoming barriers in implementing evidence-based practice. *Pediatric Nursing, 28*(2), 159.
7. Oranta, O., Routasalo, P., & Huupli, M. (2002). Barriers to and facilitators of research utilization among Finnish registered nurses. *Journal of clinical nursing, 11*(2), 205-213.
8. Parahoo, K. (2000). Barriers to, and facilitators of, research utilization among nurses in Northern Ireland. *Journal of advanced nursing, 31*(1), 89-98.
9. Parahoo, K. (2000). Barriers to, and facilitators of, research utilization among nurses in Northern Ireland. *Journal of advanced nursing, 31*(1), 89-98.
10. Retsas, A., & Nolan, M. (1999). Barriers to nurses' use of research: an Australian hospital study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies, 36*(4), 335-343.
11. Rodgers, S. E. (2000). A study of the utilization of research in practice and the influence of education. *Nurse Education Today, 20*(4), 279-287.
12. Stetler, C. B., Legro, M. W., Wallace, C. M., Bowman, C., Guihan, M., Hagedorn, H., ... & Smith, J. L. (2006). The role of formative evaluation in implementation research and the QUERI experience. *Journal of General Internal Medicine, 21*(2), S1.

AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION

Sr. #	Author-s Full Name	Contribution to the paper	Author=s Signature
1	Sobia Noreen		